

## NES Commentary No.5



Network of Ethiopian Scholars (NES)

June 29-30, 2007

**Title: Africa must unite with a big-bang even if the heavens fall: A Call to the Summit in ACCRA!!**

### 1. Inspiring quotes!

**“The brighter day is rising upon Africa. Already I seem to see her chains dissolved her desert plains red with harvest, her Abyssinia and Zululand the seats of science and religion, reflecting the glory of the rising sun from the spires of their churches and universities.” (Kwame Nkrumah), Pan-Africanist first leader of Independent Ghana**

**“Had I more than one life to live I would spend it for Africa” (George Padmore), Trinidadian Pan-Africanist who joined Ghana’s first independent Government after 1957**

**“This is Africa’s age. This is the dawn of her fulfilment- the beginning of her climb to sublimity” (Albert Luthuli), South African Zulu Chief**

**The commitment to Africa is a love thing. And a love thing can only come from the heart. Stevie Wonder, Musician who has also a home in Ghana from 1995.**

## **2. Introduction**

On the eve of this historic African Heads of States meeting with a possible impending decision expected on how and when to implement the one and only one item on the agenda: the African Union Government: towards the United states of Africa, NES joins all the inspiring pan-Africanists such as Kwame Nkrumah, George Padmore and others to urge Africans to make a big- bang burst into world history by doing Pan-Africanism in practice and making it work by deploying knowledge rather than to use as usual hundred good or not so good reasons why Africans should continue to talk unity while keeping separate and apart from each other.

## **3. There is no perfect time for unity: go for unity now, not later?**

We must be aware that all times are times of transition, but after 500 years of oppression, over 100 years of pan-African revival, 50 years after Ghana's independence, nearly 40 years of the OAU, and 5 years of the AU, the current moment is not a moment to say let us be cautious, let us be incremental, let us go slow and let us not speed up and get on the slow lane of history. No, the moment requires that Africa should not throw its destiny as hostage once again to the misfortune of the slow pace and the incremental. Time and time again we have seen such calls for the slow lane have become the wrong lane of history. The peculiarities and specific condition in Africa call exactly for the opposite to the slow that has also become unfortunately and invariably very often the wrong lane. If Africans go slow and one by one as we are certain a number of countries may wish or are likely to prefer, they would always end up being open to one form of interference to another, one form of conflict to another.

## **4. Move into the Fast Lane of History Now!**

Unless this AU Heads of states Meeting puts a stop to the slow lane by recognising the fast lane of history, then it will not be easy to see how qualitatively different it is with respect to other times and other meetings. The grand debate will just remain grand in style but not in substance. What is needed is the substance of unity and not the talk for unity.

Seize the fast lane of history. Move fast into the fast lane. Only then can history move forward. Failure to seize the moment now, is to invite disappointment. Africans have had expectations to come together and achieve collective self- respect not by giving what they have and begging from those who have taken their resources and even their agency embedded in the logic of their 'giving.'

This giving of Africa's resources and begging for donor support can only stop if Africa pursues an African national strategy. Everyone has a strategy on Africa; Africans have yet to evolve a strategy to build the African national home by dealing with a difficult and contradictory world by revealing their freedom, agency and independence.

Recall India during the Cold War. It managed to maintain a democratic national strategy by keeping the Soviet Union happy by accepting planning for the commanding heights of its economy, and by appealing to the USA by its convincing electoral democracy. Africans, on the other hand got caught in the Cold War and lost entirely a national agency and strategy. Today Africans are also lured to follow policies and strategies by allying with others such as USA, China, EU, and the World Bank and so on and not Africa. Each of these countries has a strategy on Africa, but Africa's united strategy is hard to see. When Africans unite, it pays off handsomely. The best case is when Africans united to recommend Kofi Annan to be UN General Secretary. There are many things Africans can do together that will be hugely beneficial to the people of Africa. That is why the urge to reveal African will and agency to communicate better with each other and the rest of the world with self-respect does not brook any delay. Move into the fast lane of history now. It is not a wrong turn indeed to move fast. It is, in fact, the right turn, at the right time, for the right purpose and reason. Only staying in the slow lane of history is to bet and tempt misfortune.

## **5. Africa must learn to stand up!**

It is high time that Africa must unite now without any procrastination to stand up free and independent in a turbulent world. African unity is the rock- base for the production of Africa's agency and communication with African self-respect. There is thus great expectations that this latest summit in Accra that is taking place will not disappoint Africans

the world over. It will live up to the grandness and the singular vision that the agenda suggests. Great expectations have arisen that that the meeting in Accra by the AU will implement the one and only agenda it set for itself. The great disappointment would come if little comes out of this great debate and grand agenda: **The Africa Union Government-towards the United States of Africa**. If no unity ensues, it will certainly disappoint Africans the world over. Unity will be the beginning of the end of African common suffering. It will begin our common joy and celebration. There is no doubt the world will not be the same once Africans unite and create a strategy for change of Africa's current unacceptable condition. There will be indeed an earth-shaking dramatic change when an African strategy towards Africa and the rest of the world is born with protocols and institutional norms and enforcement mechanisms. It means the moment has been seized. No longer will Africans undercut each other to beg others, once they freely and willingly choose to come together, enter into dialogue and work for the people of Africa by aligning, uniting their interests and their aspirations. That is indeed a grand historic moment. Will it take place in Accra or not- that is the grand question?

## **6. Donor econocentrism will continue to keep Africans apart!**

Two obstacles remain as a sore preventing Africa from entering the phase of self-reliant development: irrational fragmentation from a casual tearing up of the continent into incoherent real estates of the African peoples, and dependence on donors to finance African development. The first is a result from monumental historical-political crimes whose only rectification demands a big-bang decision to annul, reject and overcome the boundaries with fierce intellectual, moral and political vision to fulfil the constitutions of the Africa-nation while allowing voluntary free movement of people making the boundaries innocuous to Africa's peoples.

The second is to create a unified African strategy and unified approach to dealing with the outside donor world with an African strategy by neutralising the poison from within money as honey that donor aid has come to be in Africa. The two are dialectically linked. Weak and fragmented states depend on external sources of aid largely unable and not often in a position to mobilise internal resources. Political fragmentation has created unviable

economic entities. Conversely lack of success in economic development has created weak political structures, developments and so-called failed states that fall prostrate with begging bowls. African fragmentation liquidates African agency whilst bolstering donor agency. Africa's position as a donor recipient accentuates inter-African fragmentation and destroys the chance to evolve a unified African strategy. Donor econocentrism destroys Africa's logocentric imagination, vision and strategy to evolve a unified and Africa-centred development. The two inter-related challenges confronting the African world includes building the unified African logo and beat back the divisive temptation of succumbing to donor's econocentric logic, devices and schemes that fragment space and people.

A related critical issue is how Africans must come together with a world economy that has crushed them into debt since the 1980s, and how they can follow Sun Tzu's principle: the supreme art of fighting is to win without fighting. How can Africans evolve a strategy to win in spite of the fact their position in the world economy is unflattering? The world economy cannot be said to support the integrated African economy, which is necessary to build Africa's aspiration to create the infinite well being of African people.

## **7. Current Fragmentation cannot be afforded and Pan-African Unity cannot be avoided!!**

For over half-a century now, it has been proposed that Pan-African political unity is the necessary remedy to overcome fragmentation. It is the only basis for mobilising Africa's own resources and asserting its exclusive use for Africa's own development. It is the defence that can potentially prevent internal and external robbery of Africa's rich mineral and agricultural resources by evolving a common and legitimate African economic strategy that will challenge the problem of having to rely from weakness on those external actors that neither have the intention nor the desire in financing Africa's integrated development.

The G8 meeting in Gleneagles promised 50 billion and remains unfulfilled. The recent G8 meeting in Germany promises much but one is sceptical by past accounts whether it too will be fulfilled. The grand lesson is this: Africans must rely on Africans and must build their unity to own their mineral and agricultural wealth and manufacture that into value-added

wealth.

## **8. How a Pan-African Monetary Union May Work: Showing this by Offering a Concrete Example**

Africa's new relationship with the rest of the world will be born when Africans learn to neutralise the harm that the unholy trinity of loans, aid and debt has done to them. One key initiative African leaders can take collectively is to establish a dual currency system that can largely self-finance an integrated African development. The currency for the domestic economy should be an inconvertible people's money. The existing state currencies that are not exchanged directly with each other, and whose exchange rate is mediated with the dollar, the frank and the Euro, should give way to direct exchanges based on a fair settlement of the appropriate par value. Naturally, diversities, inequalities, different levels of development, differing attitudes and interests present problems in constructing a workable unified currency system.

It is precisely to deal with these varied problems that Africa needs a currency system to create liquidity. The direct exchange of local currencies promotes the exchange of private labours across Africa. The exchange of the local to local currency via a global currency continues to fragment Africa and integrate discrete interests and regions with the world economy. The key is to find strategies for Africa to integrate with a world economy as a whole and not in parts.

The domestication of the foreign orientation of the existing national currencies is necessary to make Africa re-link with the world economy on its own terms and not terms dictated by others. Monetary union is a key strategy to bring about a new relationship. Its proper construction requires bountiful political will that we cannot take for granted exist given the ties and propensity of the existing states not to pursue real collective action that matters. Differences in economic size and significance of the existing 53 states cannot be shunned aside. In principle, large and small economies can enter into a unified system without loss. As long as a situation of 'being better off for some without being worse off for all' exists for all those embarking on currency union, negotiations for a peaceful and evolutionary monetary system can proceed. At all costs states should not demand parity

between large economies and small economies. The objective is in the end to evolve into a unified market, unified currency area and unified economic zone. However, the move must be sensible and realistic and various domestic constituencies and their external supporters within the existing states have to be brought along by initiating a programme of fair, gradual and transparent African-wide currency or monetary union. If the cost and benefits for the various sections of social groups can be fairly worked out, possibilities exist even to neutralise transnational, supranational actors, who will no doubt be worked up by the suggestion for a monetary union.

There are innumerable informal, spontaneous and voluntary cross-border transactions in Africa. Most of those engaged in such transactions would prefer exchanging their goods for hard currencies such as dollars or francs. This is often related to the pegging of local currencies with the US dollar and French franc. An African currency that can be built up to serve as a sort of local dollar or frank will stimulate the domestic market and the communication amongst African regions, peoples, communities, markets and states.

Once agreed to embark on the process to make a unified currency, adjustments can be negotiated, trust can be built and exchange rates can be settled. The unified currency will assist gradually to overcome the limitation of many weak currencies with new money serving as a unit of account, a store of value, means of payment and means of circulation convertible within Africa.

## **9. The Case for an Inconvertible African Currency until Africa creates Economic Integration?**

African economies continue to import and export vertically and not horizontally with each other. This structure reflects also a largely unchanged trade pattern between Africa's primary products and manufactured products from the western world. Economic diversification is still a job waiting to be done. The weakness of African currencies is tied to the lack of a diversified economic structure. The price of foreign money is high and the price of local money is low. For example, the French franc used to be 100 times the local CFA franc in West and Central Africa. One Euro is CFA 665.957. Now the Frank is dead in France replaced by the Euro and is alive in West and Central Africa! Tourists and real estate dealers with French francs or Euros can purchase services and local assets in

Africa with a couple of thousands of these notes. Africans wishing to import French and Western goods will want to get hold of French francs (and now Euros) as their money is too weak to purchase foreign goods. African exports should be cheaper but with so many tariff barriers to Africa's primary and semi-manufactured goods and worsening terms of trade, and unchanging commodity portfolios, the advantage of devalued local currencies is neutralised. Africa in the CFA zone largely loses both in its exports and imports based on the existing arrangements.

Money and financial flows still occur between Africa and the west rather than within Africa itself. Inter-African integration, mobility of money, labour and capital is more difficult than the movement of money, people and capital between African states and the west. This pattern has been reinforced by the system of Africa's dependence on loans, grants and debt. When debt repayment becomes a priority, the political economy of the interest of the International Financial Institutions (IFIs) becomes paramount. When improvement of the standard of livelihood of the population is a priority, social spending will be necessary to bring it about. However, despite the rhetoric by the IFIs as "friends of the poor" following policies of poverty reduction, loans through such schemes as the heavily indebted poor countries schemes (HIPC's), policies of structural adjustment have been followed, in reality, at the expense of social spending for development. Africa has been confronted with a stark constraint: a policy structure that has privileged debt repayment over development. International politics and economics have forced this policy choice over a Pan-African alternative. To maintain or to change this policy structure is an important issue confronting Africa in the 21st century. The Pan-African quest is to change the African situation, while the IFIs want to retain the status quo of debt- payment as a priority under the guise of the poverty-reduction rhetoric. Debt-repayment distorts African economic policy in the direction of producing the things Africa cannot consume and to consume the things it cannot produce. It leads to the orientation by the domestic elite that revel in luxury consumption unwilling to forgo whiskies, cars and other private comforts for the production and development that service the wellbeing of ordinary people. It leads to a new political economy of the syndicate. The latter designates the symbiotic relation of the domestic elite in relation to the external donors: the international elite centred within the IMF, World Bank and the WTO. Together the syndicate (whatever the misgiving between and within) promotes export-orientation, the economic bible of comparative advantage and



competitiveness to solve Africa's piling debt with yet more and more loans based on more and more stringent conditions.

The advice from the international elite is to keep the capital account of African states open and unregulated. This furthers the vulnerabilities of Africa's economies to fall prey to cyclical fluctuations in the world economy. They become easy victims to fast movements of speculative finance that episodically ravishes whole economies like gales. The existing 53 state monetary arrangements in Africa are too fragmented to withstand powerful movements in world finance and business cycles.

There has to be a creative way of breaking out of this trap for Africa. We back cast to look for any past attempts to forge currency unions in order to forecast feasible alternatives to get Africa going.

#### **10. Monetary Union is not new in Africa!**

Prior to the programmatic call by Nkrumah to set up a monetary union on an African scale in May, 1963, there have been a number of attempts to set up monetary unions in different regions of Africa.

The origin of the modern monetary unions is traceable to the colonial encounter between Europe and Africa. The most enduring currency union has been that managed by France. Those started by Britain and South Africa seemed to have lacked continuity from the colonial to the post-colonial periods.

France planted the roots of the CFA Frank zone in 1945. This was a result of a decision by the French colonial Government to crowd out the various local currencies and establish the 'frank' as the sole money legally tender throughout the French colonies of West and Central Africa since 1948!

France retained its control over the monetary arrangement of its West and Central African ex-colonies in the 60s by creating two regional currencies that retained cleverly the 'CFA frank' designation in both regions. The exchange rate between the 'CFA francs' of the

West African Monetary Union and the Central African Monetary Area were made equal- both maintaining the same parity against the French Frank and capital can move freely between the two regions. Both monetary areas have since comprised what France calls the 'African Financial Community,' where each currency is only legal tender in its own region, despite the currencies being jointly managed by the French Treasury as integral parts of a single monetary union.

Though France was not a member of the CFA itself, its Ministry of Finance held the operational accounts and the foreign-exchange reserves of the Central Banks of West and Central Africa. France insured convertibility of 'CFA frank' at a fixed price, set and controlled rules for credit withdrawal and maintained a ratio of 50:1 between the CFA frank and the French frank for half a century. In 1994 there was a devaluation of the 'CFA frank' to the 'French frank' by a ratio of 100:1. In January 1999, the CFA was pegged to the 'Euro' rather than the 'French frank', but in all other respects the French Ministry of Finance retained substantive control over the 'CFA frank' zones. The Euro seems to have been introduced via France into West and Central Africa two years before twelve of its members began to use it as legal tender this year.

The British also had created a less successful East African Currency Board in 1919 and issued a common currency unit, the East African Shilling, as legal tender in Kenya, Tanganyika and Uganda. After independence in the 60s, the common currency area broke apart. Efforts to mend the break up are still continuing with the re-establishment of the East African Community.

In addition during the 1920s the then independent Republic of South Africa collaborated with the colonial powers to create a common monetary area. The Common Monetary Area embraced South Africa, former British colonies Botswana, Lesotho and Swaziland and the then German colony, Namibia. After decolonisation in the late 60s, the 'Rand Monetary Area' was formed in 1974, though diamond –rich Botswana was not in it preferring to set up the ' pula' as national money. The monetary union based on the rand has gradually loosened into an exchange rate union and appears to falter as a sustainable monetary union.

The division of Africa into currency zones has eased largely through the demise of the sterling area. However, the frank zone is still active and the dollar has moved into hitherto sterling areas and even in the CFA frank zones. Both the dollar and the 'frank cum Euro' will not easily give up their control of Africa. In particular France will not easily give up its exclusive hegemony over much of West and Central Africa comprising together some fourteen existing states. The pegging of CFA francs to the Euro has not loosened the French grip over the monetary area. Such continued French grip can affect the effort to create a big-bang evolution into an African monetary system

It is interesting to note that more efforts were made during the colonial period to create currency unions than in the period of political independence. The fact that Africa was diverted from following Pan-African directions in the post-colonial period meant that projects for currency unions to create liquidity to finance inter-African development were abandoned. Part of the problem was continued pressure from the ex- colonial powers. The British pound in Western Africa was used to punish nationalist regimes like Ghana prompting the creation of the cedi when Britain devalued the West African pound.

### **11. Some ideas for an African monetary union now?**

- A unified African currency must be built as stable so that all those engaged in transaction can benefit without inflation and/or deflationary pressures.
  - Acts of discrimination and restrictions on legitimate or lawful transactions in all markets must be forbidden.
  - The trade system within Africa must be open, free and fair and the African security environment should sustain this freedom of internal trade and investment.
  - There must be an agreement for the leading members of the AU community to guarantee and underwrite the smooth and stable functioning of an African currency. These leading members can be selected from the regions. The selection of the members has to be based on consensus and consent.
- .The transition from the state-based currency system to the African monetary system must be based on lawful, non-dislocation and evolutionary strategy. The transition must be voluntary based on principles of persuasion, consent and the pursuit of common

objectives.

- The transition from the use of current state -based currency arrangements to a unified one requires that states are willing and committed to co-ordinate monetary, interest and budgetary policies amongst themselves. They understand that currency integration adds to their sovereignty rather than subtract it. Both the dual currency and the currency union will be used for helping to insulate states from borrowing to fall in debt by creating Africans own liquidity to finance Africa's development.

- The African monetary union will resist existing African monetary arrangements that mirror the breakdown and fragmentation of African economies as they are today. The currency union will also resist the lingering domination of the ties and habits of relations with ex-colonial powers.

- The currency union has to deal with the impact on Africa of the contention and competition of the dollar, the Euro and the yen for global influence. The dollar has an overall overarching influence in the continent today in that in some countries it is freely used as a means of exchange as in the USA. This shows that if there is no unified currency union, Africa will be a battleground between the Euro and the dollar. The Yen may not be as influential as the Euro and the dollar in Africa, but it is there in the wings. These three currencies will compete in Africa and the AU must prepare the ground to found a currency union to protect Africa's developmental aspirations.

## **12. A possible Pan-African Monetary Union?**

It is time to pick up monetary or currency union as part and parcel of the African Union national project. For a monetary union on an African scale, the African Union has to authorise an African Central Bank to issue a currency unit (call it, if you like an

**'AFREE'** or something else) that can serve as a principal medium of exchange, unit of account and store of value for the whole continent. The strategy is to join together African states into a kind of monetary marriage. An African monetary union is one important way of moving closer to making the Pan-African vision a reality.

The necessary conditions for making moves towards a Pan-African monetary union are:

- The smooth transition of power from France and EU to integrate the CFA frank zone to the African Union without breaking up the common monetary area. In addition, to upgrade,

adjust and persuade the states in the rand monetary area and other bilateral and multilateral efforts such as the East African common market to join the all-African monetary system

- A new liquidity creating mechanism backed by Africa's mineral resources and African Union confidence building measures to support an African currency to circulate freely in the member states.
- A determined effort to re-link with the IMF, countries like France or the European Union on their clear acceptance of Africa's national developmental priorities and not Africa's continued indebtedness to them by preventing them to take a leading role in designing an all-African currency union
- To negotiate the par value amongst the Euro, the dollar and the African currency for the purposes of managing Africa's foreign trade in the service of African development with the rest of the world
- To control the authority of adjustment of the African currency to the dollar and Euro in the AU
- To establish a strict control over the external flow of Africa's currency by making its sole value to assist the development of Africa
- To phase out gradually the existing currencies within the 53 African states
- To create and manage a dual currency system where like the Chinese Yuan, the African currency is inconvertible by becoming a unit of account and means of payment for stimulating inter-African trade and investment. There should be a build up of foreign reserves backed by mineral wealth and the growth of Africa's labour productivities from which a foreign transaction account can be kept for the purposes of trading outside Africa.

The key importance of a currency union and an inconvertible African currency is to make it possible to raise domestic financing by enlarging the domestic market and stimulating a comprehensive and an integrated development of the continent. The currency has to be legal tender across Africa, and requires an African consensus to make it work. Above all, what is needed is a political will to imagine and construct an African general will. The political consensus is overriding to make the compelling economic and organisational case to establish the African currency and monetary union a reality.

Africa's monetary union is not conceived to join together existing currencies but to overcome the weaknesses of the admittedly weak and fragmented existing currencies. It falls within the strategy in bringing about change where Africans are able to exchange their private labours in order to consume the products of their own creations rather than the numerous luxury products their elite's consume coming from outside by manipulating foreign exchange. The monetary arrangement can be designed in such a way that Africans consume the products they produce, and discourage them from consuming luxury items for the few who own foreign dollars and Euros.

### **13. A suggested dual currency model?**

The monetary and currency union is part and parcel of the realisation of the African Union project. The African Union will have to authorise an African Central Bank to issue a currency unit (call it the Afree, the AU suggests Afric!) that can circulate across the continent. This currency is convertible only within Africa. The purpose is to bring about rapid inter- African integration. It should have a shadow as opposed to real foreign exchange price to the dollar, frank/euro, Yuan, rupee, sterling and yen for the purposes of domestic circulation and means of payment. Exchange rate par value and convertibility should be confined for transactions between Africa and the rest of the world through a foreign exchange reserve fund. The AU should create and manage a dual currency system: the domestic currency with the currency for trade with extra-African regions. Such a dual currency system, like the Chinese Yuan in the 1980s, would make Africa respond to the challenges of domestic mobilisation of financing as well as countering external assistance to turn into piles of debt. The convertible African currency stimulates Africa to respond to the global environment positively and the same currency in its inconvertible form stimulates raising domestic finances to make a bottom-up transformation of Africa where Africans learn to appreciate and value products, knowledge, trade and investment in Africa itself.

The foreign exchange reserve account should be managed by an African Central Bank. Foreign money, assistance, borrowing and transactions for import and export should be drawn from this reserve foreign exchange fund. This fund can be built up through a variety of sources: a) international assistance, b) African reserves backed by Africa's mineral

wealth, c) Africa's expected rise in labour productivity, d) possible African Central Bank 'ex-nihilo' credit creation, and e) the use of a variety of treasury bonds and e-commercial activities. The AU should authorise an African Central Bank to negotiate the par value amongst the Euro, the dollar and the African currency for the purposes of expanding and managing Africa's foreign trade in the service of African development with the rest of the world.

Such a dual strategy for liquidity generation can, if managed well, insulate Africa from the debt trap. The peoples' inconvertible money can circulate Africans private activities free from piling up any internal debt. The convertible foreign exchange reserve funding account can be prudently managed to keep at arms-length the IMF and other private bankers from manipulating Africa's priorities into debt payment. Instead of loans, debt and aid, the main prop for creating liquidity to finance African development becomes Africa's mineral resources, its labour productivity and African Union confidence building measures.

A determined effort to re-link with the IMF, countries like France or the European Union on the basis of the dual currency system must be made. The latter may not see kindly to this strategy and they will browbeat African leaders for not playing along the globalisation bandwagon. The challenge for African leadership is to make the dual system work by applying law to forestall black market problems and corruption. Financial governance including e-governance is very important. The stakes are high for the choice between the dual currency system and the IMF is whether development or debt wins for shaping Africa's futures. IMF and World Bank loans invariably have turned into debt. The challenge is whether these external actors can be persuaded by Africa's own ingenuity to help it. Need they accept without any fudge Africa's developmental priorities or not? Only when they accept the African priority for development over debt can they be said to be subscribing to a principle for Africa to relate with others on the basis of solidarity and not dictation. In addition, the adjustment, convertibility and exchange rate policy-making authority in relation to foreign currencies must lie with the AU.

The foreign exchange reserve fund is recommended to allow Africa not to lose and, in fact, benefit from globalisation while neutralising the adverse effect from it. The fund will not be used to purchase luxury items. It is set up to purchase technologies, draw in needed

experts, train personnel and implement something like the official Africa's NEPAD initiative. There must be regulation and oversight over the external flow of Africa's currency by making its sole value to assist the development of Africa.

There should be a transition period to phase out gradually the existing currencies within the 53 African states. The dual currency will enlarge both the domestic market and Africa's entry largely on its own terms to benefit from globalisation. Together the internal and external expansion of Africa's opportunities is hoped to stimulate a comprehensive and an integrated development of the continent.

The key constraint is political. For the dual system to work, it requires an African consensus and the political will to create an African general will. This can happen if African leadership transforms from governing by force, deception and blackmail to government by permanent consent through the 'mid-wifing' by Africa's own organic intellectuals combining strength and conviction in making Pan-African ideals work for Africa and above all the ordinary people of Africa. The key is the transformation of the quality of leadership- to become and be guided by moral and intellectual power. The leadership quality and the broad framework for making political consensus are overriding to make the compelling economic and organisational case to establish the African currency and monetary union a reality.

#### **14: Concluding Remarks: Not a mere proposal, the Union Government must be real?**

A monetary system for the making of free Africa requires a substantially different approach from the process of monetary integration that is taking place within the EU. Unlike the European monetary approach to create an optimal currency area, an African monetary system is a key instrument to forge the completion of Africa's emancipation. The concept of an African currency union is to be constituted to undo and overcome, reverse and convert the history of Africa's grand oppression into an autobiography of liberation. It is thus a qualitatively different system differing in purpose, functioning, objective and intention from the pattern of monetary union of Europe where the issue is to unify fairly well functioning currencies in order to exploit the advantage of an enlarged market. Africa's



currency union is thus part and parcel of the overall struggle to mobilise finance internally in the effort to inter-connect states, peoples, communities, regions, economies, households, and families, individuals and markets across Africa. It is a weapon for eradicating violence and poverty by facilitating political and economic integration. It is a weapon in the democratisation of African politics, economics and public life. It will be used to promote primarily inter-African trade, investment, infrastructure, communication and electrification, the creation of jobs without state enclosures, borders and barriers, and generally to found, build and open Africa as a dynamic, prosperous and independent national economic and social system. Once Africa has an integrated economic and political system, it would create the necessary condition to forge a real partnership with all types of economies and regions of the world.

NES hopes that the African Union Government will not remain a proposal. We hope concrete steps will be put in place to make sure that the condition is created for the broadest, deepest and most consequential pan-African education, consciousness and national unification by making every child, every man and woman grow up with the African identity as a primary feature of citizenship. For this to take place efforts to combine the struggle in every country with the Pan-African struggle must be consciously communicated and fulfilled. The day, when each country emerges also with the African identity and citizen as a guarantee for communicating both within Africa and the rest of the world –is also the day when Africa would have entered the fast lane to stand up and be counted in the world. Let all see the day when we united Africans declare the African peoples have stood up. Let Africa reach out as a cornerstone of an arch that spreads a pan-African civilisation of democracy, rule of law, human rights along with development and a just, sustainable system of democratic governance at every level from the family to the continent.

Mammo Muchie, on behalf of NES

***NB: All references have not been included.***